

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/687,461	10/16/2003	Yoshio Takada	B422-243	8871
26272	7590 06/06/2005		EXAMINER	
COWAN LIEBOWITZ & LATMAN P.C.			PERKEY, WILLIAM B	
JOHN J TORI 1133 AVE OF	RENTE THE AMERICAS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1133 AVE OF THE AMERICAS			2851	
NEW YORK,	NY 10036		DATE MAILED: 06/06/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{L}	K/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/687,461	TAKADA, YOSHIO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William B. Perkey	2851	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a re oly within the statutory minimum of thirty I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te. cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-4,7-11 and 14-18 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,7-11 and 14-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 October 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ewn from consideration. For election requirement. For eer. For e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obeed drawing(s) be held in abeyander.	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Appority documents have been in the law (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/687,461

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-4, 7-11 and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the acknowledged Prior Art disclosed in applicants Figs. 6A and 6B in view of Nakagishi (U.S. Patent No. 6,424,068 B2).

Prior Art Fig. 6B discloses a rotor 102; a first bearing 101a; and second bearing 104a.

The lever 102 is connected to a not shown light quantity adjusting device. The Fig. 6 B also discloses a Hall element 118 to detect the position of the rotor. Fig. 6B shows the yoke 105 arranged in a position shifted in a thrust direction with respect to the magnet (see the paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 of applicant's disclosure). A bias in the radial direction is described on page 6 lines 3-6 of applicant's disclosure. The first and second bearings are illustrated as formed in a bobbin. The acknowledged prior art discloses the claimed invention, except for the first bearing having a tapered shape and the fitted and axis portion are in a relation of a line osculation. Nakagishi discloses a motor device for controlling a device that requires high stability. Tapered and semi-spherical bearings, some embodiments with biasing means for the bearings, are disclosed by Nakagishi to obtain high stability of undesired movements. Figs. 23 and 24 show a fitted and axis portion in a relation of line osculation where the parts 101 and 103 in Fig. 23 come into contact and where the parts 105 and 101 come into contact in Fig. 24. It

Application/Control Number: 10/687,461

Art Unit: 2851

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of applicant's invention, to substitute improved bearings and axial portions of shapes similar to parts 103, 101, 105, 115 in Figs. 23 and 24 of Nakagishi for the bearings and axial portions of the acknowledged prior art Fig. 6B, in order to obtain the desirable feature of improved stabilization of the light quantity adjusting means.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed March 4, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's have attacked the references of the rejection individually. One cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Telephone Numbers

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William B. Perkey whose telephone number is (571) 272-2126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,461

Art Unit: 2851

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William B. Perkey Primary Examiner Art Unit 2851

WBP:wbp